
Not even a peep

Posted by SUMG - 2010/03/02 09:27

It sure would have been nice to read somewhere (a Vito blog, Harry's board)....that our Athletic Department raised Holy Hell about Troy getting the #1 seed.

I guess not.

Hey....do you know how pissed we're all gonna be if we don't win the SBC tourney.....and then have to put up with not being in the NIT bracket? At that point....it'll be way too late.

And btw....this could still all be rectified. It's not as if Troy or us would have to change travel plans and what not. It's just a matter of switching start times for 1st round games (and possibly 2nd round games). That's all.

Nike's slogan is "Just Do It"....apparently ours is "Just Take It".

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by Quoner - 2010/03/02 09:56

I bet if the Sun Belt commissioner was a message board poster, RV would have already tracked down their personal information, asked them not to bring a sign and called their employer.

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by CMJ - 2010/03/02 09:57

:lol:

I kinda doubt we did, "rules are rules" and all that. Even tho it seems apparent that rules far down the tiebreaker list somehow supercede the first rules of seeding the draw.

If we did - word might not get out that fast. Our AD certainly wouldn't publicize it. I bet if anything did happen the story will come out a few weeks from now.

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by TheTastyGreek - 2010/03/02 10:30

When someone tells RV (incorrectly) that I made a joke implying he's gay (again... incorrectly), he starts asking around about how to find me at the WKU game because he's so angry he wants to tell me about it to my face.

Apparently, he didn't think to just look for the GIANT GREEK FLAG THAT MARKS MY TAILGATE.

Anyway, when I'm trying to watch the game, he sends someone down to summon me up to the box. So I can 'apologize to his face for what I said'.

I politely declined.

If someone misinterprets a joke on a messageboard, RV is capable of making a ridiculously big deal over it. Hopefully, he puts at least as much energy into responding to someone misinterpreting the tiebreaker rules.

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by Quoner - 2010/03/02 11:18

to be fair to RV, I play a gay guy on the internet, so it can be hard to keep it all straight sometimes. PUNNY!

I just don't want this tie-breaker thing to become our rallying cry if we lose a game in SB, but if we win, it should be brought up all the time as something that can happen to schools that don't have as good a team as we do.

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by SUMG - 2010/03/02 15:18

Was told by a fellow fan....that we have contacted Commish Waters. And that he told us that we entered into a "gentlemen's agreement" before the season.....that this would be how we would determine seeds and break ties. I was told that they did it this way.....because of the unbalanced league (7 in one division; 6 in the other).

If that's the case.....we don't have a leg to stand on. And btw....I fault no one for signing any gentlemen's agreement....as no one could tell how this was all gonna play out.

But that being said...in the future SBC....choose your Division champs first.....then go from there....

(Please don't put this info on the other board).

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by CMJ - 2010/03/02 15:27

Interesting SUMG. I mean I guess it makes sense with the unbalanced schedule, but I'll always feel that the Divisions should be finalized and then figure out who the number one is. Alas, it is what it is.

As i said in another thread, our road to the Finals looks slightly better as the 2 anyways.

=====

Re:Not even a peep

Posted by TheTastyGreek - 2010/03/02 16:13

I got the same call, SUMG.

Hopefully, it goes without saying that the "RV sent a lackey to fetch me so I could bow as a supplicant and kiss the ring" story stays over here, too.

=====