
Re: Same ol' Same ol'

Posted by scrappy - 2006/11/17 13:02

Perimeter defense was marginal. Sometimes they would have wide open looks where it seemed everytime they made us pay.

I am going to knee jerk on the inside defense and say that Keith Wooden is no Jeff Simpson. Wooden did play good D down low, but there certainly wasn't a fear of the paint from UTA. They challenged the lane often and came away many times with a bucket.

Transition- While most of the night it was pretty good, the last controversial call was in my mind a coaching error. We didn't really press much all night, but then decided with 7 seconds left that we would apply full court pressure in a tie ballgame. High Risk/High Reward right? High Risk won. They broke that press with relative ease and had a point blank shot. SEVEN seconds. 3/4 D would have at least slowed them down enough. Forcing them to take a long jumpshot would have been advisable.

I liked Ben Bell from a ball distribution standpoint. On this night, he couldn't hit a shot to save his life. We need a least a little offensive productin from him.

One more thing.....we shot 6 free throws to their 30 attempts. Are we that much more aggressive? Are they that good at drawing the foul? It is an awfully large difference.

Perhaps Rice will be better.

=====